

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
for the Fifth Circuit

---

No. 92-2609  
Summary Calendar

---

In the Matter of: KAY CHARLOTTE JOHNSON, ETC.,

Debtor.

W. STEVE SMITH, Trustee,

Plaintiff,

VERSUS

PETER D. VAN DER JAGT,

Defendant,

\* \* \* \* \*

PETER D. VAN DER JAGT,

Third Party Plaintiff-Appellant,

VERSUS

WILLIAM R. GREENDYKE, KAREN K. BROWN  
and UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Third Party Defendants-Appellees.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Southern District of Texas  
(CA H 91 2358)

---

(December 28, 1992)

Before JOLLY, DUHÉ, and BARKSDALE, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> Local Rule 47.5 provides: "The publication of opinions that have no precedential value and merely decide particular cases on the basis of well-settled principles of law imposes needless

This is Act II of the saga of Peter Van Der Jagt against the United States, various district and bankruptcy judges, and others in positions of authority. See Van Der Jagt v. SIB International, et al, No. 91-2878, slip op. (5th Cir. Aug. 19, 1992) (unpublished) cert. denied, \_\_\_ U.S. \_\_\_ (Nov. 5, 1992). Appellant now seeks review of an interlocutory order of the district court striking his third party complaint against two bankruptcy judges and the United States, and denying certification under 28 U.S.C. § 1292(b). We are without jurisdiction and dismiss the appeal for lack of jurisdiction. Appellant is cautioned that the continued filing of frivolous pleadings will lead to the imposition of sanctions.

APPEAL DISMISSED.

---

expense on the public and burdens on the legal profession." Pursuant to that Rule, the Court has determined that this opinion should not be published.