

United States Court of Appeals
for the Fifth Circuit

United States Court of Appeals
Fifth Circuit

FILED

October 26, 2026

Thomas B. Plunkett, III
Clerk

No. 26-60099
Summary Calendar

MARCO ANTONIO JASSO MARTINEZ,

Petitioner,

versus

TODD WALLACE BLANCHE, *U.S. Attorney General,*

Respondent.

Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals
Agency No. A078 180 414

Before SMITH, SOUTHWICK, and OLDHAM, *Circuit Judges.*

PER CURIAM:*

Marco Antonio Jasso Martinez, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions this court for review of an order of the Board of Immigration Appeals (BIA) dismissing his appeal from an order of the Immigration Judge denying him cancellation of removal and ordering him removed. Our review of the record rebuts his argument that his evidence was not considered in the aggregate and shows that he failed to establish that his removal would cause

* This opinion is not designated for publication. *See* 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.

No. 26-60099

“exceptional and extremely unusual hardship to” a qualifying relative, particularly in light of the deferential review given this mixed question of fact and law. 8 U.S.C. § 1229b(b)(1)(D); *Wilkinson v. Garland*, 601 U.S. 209, 218, 225 (2024).

Our review also demonstrates that the BIA did not insufficiently discuss the evidence and arguments before it. *See L.N. v. Garland*, 109 F.4th 389, 396 (5th Cir. 2024); *Singh v. Sessions*, 880 F.3d 220, 224 (5th Cir. 2018). Finally, because his failure to make the requisite hardship showing disqualified him from receiving cancellation, the BIA was not required to discuss whether his conviction for use of a fraudulent document disqualified him from receiving cancellation. *See* 8 U.S.C. § 1229b(b)(1)(D); *INS v. Bagamasbad*, 429 U.S. 24, 25 (1976). The petition for review is DENIED.