

United States Court of Appeals
for the Fifth Circuit

United States Court of Appeals
Fifth Circuit

FILED

September 2, 2025

Lyle W. Cayce
Clerk

No. 25-50177

SHUNSTON S. SEAFORTH,

Plaintiff—Appellant,

versus

CORNERSTONE HOME LENDING, INCORPORATED; LONE CARE,
L.L.C.; U.S. NATIONAL BANK ASSOCIATION, *Trustee for Ginnie
Mae REMIC Trust,*

Defendants—Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Western District of Texas
USDC No. 5:25-CV-144

Before SMITH, HAYNES, and OLDHAM, *Circuit Judges.*

PER CURIAM:*

Shunston Seaforth moves to proceed *in forma pauperis* (“IFP”) in his interlocutory appeal from the denials of his “motion to stay foreclosure proceedings,” which effectively sought a preliminary injunction, and his motion for a temporary restraining order to stay foreclosure proceedings.

We must examine the basis for our jurisdiction, *sua sponte* if necessary.

* This opinion is not designated for publication. See 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.

No. 25-50177

Mosley v. Cozby, 813 F.2d 659, 660 (5th Cir. 1987). We lack jurisdiction over Seaforth’s interlocutory appeal from the denial of a temporary restraining order. *See In re Lieb*, 915 F.2d 180, 183 (5th Cir. 1990). Further, while this appeal was pending, the district court entered a final judgment dismissing Seaforth’s claims. His appeal from the denial of a preliminary injunction therefore is moot. *See Koppula v. Jaddou*, 72 F.4th 83, 84–85 (5th Cir. 2023); *see also Am. Precision Ammunition, L.L.C. v. City of Min. Wells*, 90 F.4th 820, 827 (5th Cir. 2024) (“We lack subject matter jurisdiction to review a moot claim.”).

Accordingly, the appeal is DISMISSED for want of jurisdiction. Seaforth’s IFP motion and motion to supplement the record or, alternatively, for judicial notice are DENIED as moot.