

United States Court of Appeals  
for the Fifth Circuit

---

No. 25-30688  
Summary Calendar

---

United States Court of Appeals  
Fifth Circuit

**FILED**

April 14, 2026

Lyle W. Cayce  
Clerk

BUBBA JONATHAN WHITMAN,

*Plaintiff—Appellant,*

*versus*

TONY LAMBRIGHT, *Vernon Parish District Attorney;*  
LARRY WALLACE, *Director, LA Dept of Children & Family Services;*  
CHARLES BRYSON, *Asst. Commissioner,*  
*Family Assistance & Child Support Division, TN Dept of Human Services;*  
SCOTT WESTERCHILL,  
*in his official capacity as Judge, 30th JDC Vernon Parish;*  
ANTHONY EAVES,  
*in his official capacity as Judge, 30th JDC Vernon Parish,*

*Defendants—Appellees.*

---

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Western District of Louisiana  
USDC No. 2:25-CV-1577

---

Before SMITH, HIGGINSON, and WILSON, *Circuit Judges.*

PER CURIAM:\*

Tony Lambright, *pro se*, sued various Vernon Parish officials under

---

\* This opinion is not designated for publication. See 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.

No. 25-30688

42 U.S.C. § 1983 and the Declaratory Judgment Act. He appeals the denial of his second motion for a temporary restraining order and preliminary injunction.

The suit complains of the alleged mishandling of a domestic dispute, *to-wit*, error in registering a child-support order. The main question on appeal is whether the *Rooker-Feldman* doctrine applies here to divest the federal district court of jurisdiction to entertain a collateral attack on the state-court judgment.

The district court denied the injunction and TRO. In a concise but sufficiently thorough three-page Memorandum Order, issued on October 29, 2025, the court explained as follows: “Plaintiff now attempts to plead around *Rooker-Feldman*, arguing that he is not seeking review of a state court judgment but attempting ‘to halt ongoing constitutional violations by state officials.’” “[A]ll of plaintiff’s claims stem from the fact that he believes the state court erred in registering the child support order—a matter for state court review.”

The district court is correct in its application of the *Rooker-Feldman* doctrine. The order denying preliminary relief is AFFIRMED.