

United States Court of Appeals
for the Fifth Circuit

United States Court of Appeals
Fifth Circuit

FILED

March 11, 2026

Lyle W. Cayce
Clerk

No. 25-20296
Summary Calendar

JASON YOUNG, *also known as* CRYSTAL REY YOUNG,

Plaintiff—Appellant,

versus

TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE,

Defendant—Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Southern District of Texas
USDC No. 4:24-CV-4848

Before SMITH, HAYNES, and OLDHAM, *Circuit Judges.*

PER CURIAM:*

Jason Young, Texas prisoner # 01641912, moves for leave to proceed in forma pauperis (IFP) in this appeal of the district court's dismissal of his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 complaint without prejudice for want of prosecution. The motion is a challenge to the district court's certification that the appeal is not taken in good faith. *See Baugh v. Taylor*, 117 F.3d 197, 202 (5th Cir. 1997).

* This opinion is not designated for publication. *See* 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.

No. 25-20296

Young fails to address meaningfully the district court's reasons for the dismissal of his complaint. Pro se briefs are afforded liberal construction. *See Yohey v. Collins*, 985 F.2d 222, 225 (5th Cir. 1993). Nevertheless, when an appellant fails to identify any error in the district court's analysis, it is the same as if the appellant had not appealed the decision. *Brinkmann v. Dallas Cnty. Deputy Sheriff Abner*, 813 F.2d 744, 748 (5th Cir. 1987).

Because Young has failed to meaningfully challenge any factual or legal aspect of the district court's dismissal of his complaint, he has abandoned the critical issue of his appeal. *See id.* Thus, the appeal lacks arguable merit. *See Howard v. King*, 707 F.2d 215, 220 (5th Cir. 1983). Accordingly, the motion for leave to proceed IFP is DENIED, and the appeal is DISMISSED as frivolous. *See Baugh*, 117 F.3d at 202 n.24; 5TH CIR. R. 42.2.

This court's dismissal of the appeal as frivolous counts as one strike under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). *See Adepegba v. Hammons*, 103 F.3d 383, 387-88 (5th Cir. 1996), *abrogated in part on other grounds by Coleman v. Tollefson*, 575 U.S. 532 (2015). Young is WARNED that if he accumulates three strikes, he will no longer be allowed to proceed IFP in any civil action or appeal filed while he is incarcerated or detained in any facility unless he is under imminent danger of serious physical injury. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).