

# United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit

---

No. 25-11334  
Summary Calendar

---

United States Court of Appeals  
Fifth Circuit

**FILED**

May 11, 2026

Lyle W. Cayce  
Clerk

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

*Plaintiff—Appellee,*

*versus*

ROGELIO REYES,

*Defendant—Appellant.*

---

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Northern District of Texas  
USDC No. 3:25-CR-144-1

---

Before STEWART, GRAVES, and OLDHAM, *Circuit Judges.*

PER CURIAM:\*

Rogelio Reyes appeals the sentence imposed following his guilty plea conviction for illegal reentry in violation of 8 U.S.C. § 1326. Reyes challenges the application of the enhanced penalty range in § 1326(b) as unconstitutional because it permits a defendant to be sentenced above the statutory maximum of § 1326(a) based on a prior felony conviction that was

---

\* This opinion is not designated for publication. *See* 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.

No. 25-11334

not alleged in the indictment or found by a jury beyond a reasonable doubt. As he concedes, this issue is foreclosed by *Almendarez-Torres v. United States*, 523 U.S. 224 (1998). See *United States v. Pervis*, 937 F.3d 546, 553-54 (5th Cir. 2019). He raises this issue to preserve it for further review. The Government has filed an unopposed motion for summary affirmance or, alternatively, for an extension of time to file a brief.

Because summary affirmance is appropriate, see *Groendyke Transp., Inc. v. Davis*, 406 F.2d 1158, 1162 (5th Cir. 1969), the Government's motion for summary affirmance is GRANTED, its alternative motion for an extension of time is DENIED, and the district court's judgment is AFFIRMED.