

United States Court of Appeals
for the Fifth Circuit

United States Court of Appeals
Fifth Circuit

FILED

September 29, 2026

Lyle W. Cayce
Clerk

No. 25-11264
Summary Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff—Appellee,

versus

SAUL ABRAHAM QUINTANA,

Defendant—Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Northern District of Texas
USDC No. 2:24-CR-91-1

Before KING, HIGGINSON, and DOUGLAS, *Circuit Judges*.

PER CURIAM:*

Saul Abraham Quintana pleaded guilty to possession with the intent to distribute cocaine. He appeals the district court's denial of his motion to suppress evidence obtained in a search of his vehicle during a traffic stop and argues the state trooper did not have reasonable suspicion to extend the stop for a K-9 sniff.

* This opinion is not designated for publication. *See* 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.

No. 25-11264

A reasonable view of the evidence supports the district court’s finding that Quintana’s statements regarding his travel plans were implausible and inconsistent and that he exhibited signs of nervousness. *See United States v. Scroggins*, 599 F.3d 433, 440 (5th Cir. 2010). Given the totality of the circumstances—including Quintana’s inconsistent and implausible statements, his traveling on a known drug corridor in a car rented for only 24 hours, his occupation as a truck driver, and the manner in which he stored his luggage—we conclude there was reasonable suspicion to extend the stop. *See United States v. Estrada*, 459 F.3d 627, 631 (5th Cir. 2006); *United States v. Glenn*, 931 F.3d 424, 429-30 (5th Cir. 2019). Accordingly, the district court did not err in denying his motion to suppress. *United States v. Boche-Perez*, 755 F.3d 327, 333 (5th Cir. 2014).

AFFIRMED.