

United States Court of Appeals
for the Fifth Circuit

No. 25-11037
Summary Calendar

United States Court of Appeals
Fifth Circuit

FILED

August 14, 2026

Lyle W. Cayce
Clerk

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff—Appellee,

versus

EDELIEL ESANULSANCHAZE RAINEY,

Defendant—Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Northern District of Texas
USDC No. 2:24-CR-83-1

Before HAYNES, GRAVES, and RAMIREZ, *Circuit Judges*.

PER CURIAM:*

Edieliel Esanulsanchaze Rainey appeals following his conditional guilty plea and sentence for possession with intent to distribute cocaine. He challenges the denial of his motion to suppress evidence obtained during a traffic stop of his rental vehicle, arguing that the traffic stop was not justified at its inception and was improperly extended.

* This opinion is not designated for publication. *See* 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.

No. 25-11037

Following the denial of a suppression motion, we review the district court's legal conclusions de novo and its factual findings for clear error, viewing the evidence in the light most favorable to the Government as the prevailing party. *See United States v. Soriano*, 976 F.3d 450, 455 (5th Cir. 2020). On that standard of review, we are not persuaded that the district court clearly erred in its factual findings regarding the traffic violation that justified the initial stop, *see id.*; *United States v. Porter*, 170 F.4th 381, 386 (5th Cir. 2026), *petition for cert. filed* (U.S. July 15, 2026) (No. 26-5121), or in concluding that the trooper developed reasonable suspicion that justified prolonging the stop, *see United States v. Arvizu*, 534 U.S. 266, 274 (2002); *United States v. Reyes*, 963 F.3d 482, 487-88 (5th Cir. 2020).

AFFIRMED.