## United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit

No. 24-50801 Summary Calendar United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

May 16, 2025

Lyle W. Cayce Clerk

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff—Appellee,

versus

EDRAS REYES-SALINAS,

Defendant—Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas USDC No. 3:24-CR-1194-1

Before ELROD, *Chief Judge*, and HAYNES, and DUNCAN, *Circuit Judges*. PER CURIAM:<sup>\*</sup>

Edras Reyes-Salinas appeals following his conviction for illegal reentry in violation of 8 U.S.C. § 1326(a), arguing for the first time on appeal that the statutory sentencing enhancement in § 1326(b) is unconstitutional. He concedes this argument is foreclosed by *Almendarez-Torres v. United States*, 523 U.S. 224 (1998), and the Government has filed a motion for

<sup>\*</sup> This opinion is not designated for publication. See 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.

## No. 24-50801

summary affirmance or, alternatively, for an extension of time in which to file a brief. Reyes-Salinas takes no position on the motion.

The parties are correct that the argument is foreclosed. See United States v. Pervis, 937 F.3d 546, 553-54 (5th Cir. 2019); see also Erlinger v. United States, 602 U.S. 821, 838 (2024) (explaining that Almendarez-Torres "persists as a narrow exception permitting judges to find only the fact of a prior conviction" (internal quotation marks and citation omitted)). Summary affirmance is therefore appropriate. See Groendyke Transp., Inc. v. Davis, 406 F.2d 1158, 1162 (5th Cir. 1969).

Accordingly, the motion for summary affirmance is GRANTED, the alternative motion for an extension of time is DENIED, and the judgment of the district court is AFFIRMED.