## United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit

No. 22-10932

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

FILED April 26, 2024

Lyle W. Cayce Clerk

Plaintiff—Appellee,

versus

JOEL MILES,

Defendant—Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas USDC No. 4:22-CR-140-1

Before RICHMAN, Chief Judge, and OLDHAM and RAMIREZ, Circuit Judges.

Per Curiam:

Fort Worth police arrested Joel Miles after finding an unregistered short-barreled rifle in his car. Miles is a convicted felon, so federal prosecutors charged him with violating 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(1). The parties then entered into a plea agreement by which the government agreed to dismiss the § 922(g)(1) charge. In exchange, Miles agreed to plead guilty to possessing an unregistered short-barreled rifle in violation of 26 U.S.C. § 5861(d). Miles also agreed to waive his right to appeal the § 5861(d)

## No. 22-10932

conviction.<sup>\*</sup> The district court accepted the plea agreement and imposed a 115-month sentence.

Miles now seeks to appeal his § 5861(d) conviction, even though knowingly and voluntarily waived the right to do so in his plea agreement. Miles contends that because short-barreled rifles are in common use, Congress cannot regulate them under the Second Amendment as interpreted by the Supreme Court in *New York State Rifle & Pistol Assoc. v. Bruen*, 597 U.S. 1 (2022). And he alleges his appeal waiver was ineffective as to that claim because in his view, a defendant cannot waive the right to assert that his statute of conviction is unconstitutional.

Miles' argument is foreclosed. See United States v. Portillo-Munoz, 643 F.3d 437, 442 (5th Cir. 2011) (enforcing an appeal waiver against a constitutional challenge to a statute of conviction); see also United States v. Ford, 688 F. App'x 309, 310–11 (5th Cir. 2017) (per curiam) (citing Portillo-Munoz for the proposition that constitutional claims "may be waived by a valid appeal waiver"); United States v. Caldwell, 38 F.4th 1161 (5th Cir. 2022) (per curiam) (holding defendants can waive the right to collaterally attack a conviction on constitutional grounds). Miles therefore waived the right to press his Second Amendment claim on appeal.

APPEAL DISMISSED.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>ast}$  The waiver was subject to limited exceptions that Miles concedes are not relevant in this case.