

United States Court of Appeals
for the Fifth Circuit

United States Court of Appeals
Fifth Circuit

FILED

June 6, 2022

Lyle W. Cayce
Clerk

No. 21-60825
Summary Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff—Appellee,

versus

GEORGIO R. SCOTT,

Defendant—Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Southern District of Mississippi
USDC No. 2:20-CR-17-1

Before KING, COSTA, and HO, *Circuit Judges.*

PER CURIAM:*

The attorney appointed to represent Georgio R. Scott has moved for leave to withdraw and has filed a brief in accordance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and *United States v. Flores*, 632 F.3d 229 (5th Cir. 2011). Scott has filed responses. The record is not sufficiently developed to allow

* Pursuant to 5TH CIRCUIT RULE 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIRCUIT RULE 47.5.4.

No. 21-60825

us to make a fair evaluation of Scott's claims of ineffective assistance of counsel; we therefore decline to consider the claims without prejudice to collateral review. *See United States v. Isgar*, 739 F.3d 829, 841 (5th Cir. 2014).

We have reviewed counsel's brief and the relevant portions of the record reflected therein, as well as Scott's timely filed responses. We concur with counsel's assessment that the appeal presents no nonfrivolous issue for appellate review. Thus, the motion for leave to withdraw is GRANTED, counsel is excused from further responsibilities herein, and the APPEAL IS DISMISSED. *See 5TH CIR. R. 42.2*. To the extent that Scott moves to proceed pro se, his request is DENIED as untimely. *See United States v. Wagner*, 158 F.3d 901, 902-03 (5th Cir. 1998).