

United States Court of Appeals
for the Fifth Circuit

United States Court of Appeals
Fifth Circuit

FILED

October 25, 2021

Lyle W. Cayce
Clerk

No. 21-10568
Summary Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff—Appellee,

versus

ROBERT FLORES,

Defendant—Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Northern District of Texas
USDC No. 2:20-CR-15-8

Before SMITH, STEWART, and GRAVES, *Circuit Judges.*

PER CURIAM:*

The attorney appointed to represent Robert Flores has moved for leave to withdraw and has filed a brief in accordance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and *United States v. Flores*, 632 F.3d 229 (5th Cir. 2011). Flores has filed a response. The record is not sufficiently developed to allow

* Pursuant to 5TH CIRCUIT RULE 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIRCUIT RULE 47.5.4.

No. 21-10568

us to make a fair evaluation of Flores's claims of ineffective assistance of counsel; we therefore decline to consider the claims without prejudice to collateral review. *See United States v. Isgar*, 739 F.3d 829, 841 (5th Cir. 2014).

We have reviewed counsel's brief and the relevant portions of the record reflected therein, as well as Flores's response. We concur with counsel's assessment that the appeal presents no nonfrivolous issue for appellate review. Accordingly, the motion for leave to withdraw is GRANTED, counsel is excused from further responsibilities herein, and the APPEAL IS DISMISSED. *See* 5TH CIR. R. 42.2. Flores's pro se motion for appointment of new counsel is DENIED as untimely. *See United States v. Wagner*, 158 F.3d 901, 902-03 (5th Cir. 1998).