

United States Court of Appeals  
for the Fifth Circuit

United States Court of Appeals  
Fifth Circuit

**FILED**

December 29, 2021

Lyle W. Cayce  
Clerk

---

No. 20-20346  
Summary Calendar

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

*Plaintiff—Appellee,*

*versus*

JERAMY JEROME GAGE,

*Defendant—Appellant.*

---

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Southern District of Texas  
USDC No. 4:12-CR-336-1

---

Before JONES, DUNCAN, and ENGELHARDT, *Circuit Judges.*

PER CURIAM:\*

Jeremy Jerome Gage, federal prisoner # 72053-279, appeals from the district court's denial of his 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A)(i) motion requesting a reduction in his sentence based on the danger of COVID-19 in light of his alleged health issues. Gage's motion to stay the appeal is denied.

---

\* Pursuant to 5TH CIRCUIT RULE 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIRCUIT RULE 47.5.4.

No. 20-20346

The Government moves to dismiss the appeal because Gage argues that compassionate release is warranted based on specific family circumstances that were not presented to the Bureau of Prisons or to the district court prior to the denial of Gage's reconsideration motion. We may pretermite the exhaustion issue where, as here, the case can easily be resolved on the merits. *See United States v. Franco*, 973 F.3d 465, 467 (5th Cir.), *cert. denied*, 141 S. Ct. 920 (2020). Thus, we deny the Government's motion to dismiss. We dispense with further briefing as unnecessary and deny the alternative motion to extend the deadline for the appellee's brief. *See United States v. Bailey*, 924 F.3d 1289, 1290 (5th Cir. 2019).

Gage's motion to supplement the record on appeal with a letter from his child's caregiver is denied. *See Theriot v. Parish of Jefferson*, 185 F.3d 477, 491 n.26 (5th Cir. 1999). To the extent that Gage renews the arguments he raised in the district court regarding his prison disciplinary record and a family member's health, he has failed to show that the district court abused its discretion in denying his motion for compassionate release. *See United States v. Thompson*, 984 F.3d 431, 433 (5th Cir.), *cert. denied*, 141 S. Ct. 2688 (2021); *United States v. Chambliss*, 948 F.3d 691, 693 (5th Cir. 2020). Accordingly, we affirm the judgment of the district court.

AFFIRMED; MOTIONS DENIED.