## United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit United State Fifth

No. 20-10681 Summary Calendar United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

**FILED** 

June 4, 2021

Lyle W. Cayce Clerk

United States of America,

Plaintiff—Appellee,

versus

FABIAN C. FLEIFEL,

Defendant—Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas USDC No. 3:12-CR-318-3

Before HIGGINBOTHAM, JONES, and COSTA, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:\*

Fabian C. Fleifel, federal prisoner # 57575-018, has appealed the district court's order denying his motion for compassionate release pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A)(i). He argues that the district court should have reduced his sentence to time served in light of the 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) factors

\* Pursuant to 5TH CIRCUIT RULE 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited

circumstances set forth in 5TH CIRCUIT RULE 47.5.4.

## No. 20-10681

and his health conditions. To the extent he has moved this court for compassionate release, the motion is DENIED because we cannot decide such a motion in the first instance as, in context, § 3582 directs the filing of such motions in the sentencing court. See § 3582(c)(1)(A); see also Dillon v. United States, 560 U.S. 817, 821 (2010).

We pretermit the question whether the district court erred in determining that Fleifel failed to exhaust his administrative remedies. *See United States v. Franco*, 973 F.3d 465, 467-68 (5th Cir.), *cert. denied*, 141 S. Ct. 920 (2020). To the extent that Fleifel relies on documents or facts that were not before the district court, we will not consider them. *See Theriot v. Parish of Jefferson*, 185 F.3d 477, 491 n.26 (5th Cir. 1999).

Fleifel has not shown that the district court abused its discretion in determining that he did not have an extraordinary and compelling reason for his immediate release. *See United States v. Thompson*, 984 F.3d 431, 434-35 (5th Cir. 2021), *cert. denied*, 2021 WL 2044647 (U.S. May 24, 2021) (No. 20-7832). The district court's order is AFFIRMED.