United States Court of Appeals
Fifth Circuit
FILED
April 13, 2026
Lyle W. Cayce
Clerk

Judicial Council for the Fifth Circuit

Complaint Numbers: 05-26-90110 and 05-26-90111

IN RE COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT
UNDER THE JUDICIAL IMPROVEMENTS ACT OF 2002.

ORDER

Complainant, a pro se litigant, has filed a complaint alleging misconduct by two United States District Judges in Complainant's employment discrimination case.

Complainant complains that:

- Judge A's decision to transfer Complainant's case to another district court, and Judge B's denial of her motions to transfer the case back, deprived Complainant of "protection from sex trafficking locations and persons" and constitute evidence of "abusive unwavering allegiance to [the defendant] sex-trafficking the court process."
- Judge B demonstrated "malicious incompetence" by "dismiss[ing] factual court records and evidence" and mischaracterizing Complainant's claims as "repetitive and confused" and "clearly baseless."
- "Both judges refused the requested 30 court records proving ongoing occult human and sex trafficking abuses and [my] need and many requests for protection and

restraining order," and thereby "used the judge's office for [the defendant's] sex trafficking purposes of human and sex trafficking.

- The judges' adverse rulings demonstrated lack of "competency of human and sex trafficking patterns of abuse and crimes" and/or "[inability] to discharge the duties of office because of having mental or physical disability."

To the extent that these allegations relate directly to the merits of decisions or procedural rulings, they are subject to dismissal under 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii). The complaint procedures in 28 U.S.C. §§ 351-364 may not be used to challenge the correctness of a judge's decision or ruling.

The assertions of improper motive, incompetence, and disability are subject to dismissal under 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii) as "lacking sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred" or that the judges are suffering from a disability. Adverse opinions alone do not constitute evidence of improper motive or disability.

The complaint is DISMISSED. An unredacted private order is entered simultaneously herewith.

Jennifer W. Elrod

JENNIFER WALKER ELROD
Chief Judge