

**FILED**

April 1, 2026

Lyle W. Cayce  
Clerk

# Judicial Council for the Fifth Circuit

---

Complaint Number: 05-26-90106

---

IN RE COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT  
UNDER THE JUDICIAL IMPROVEMENTS ACT OF 2002.

---

## ORDER

Complainant, a pro se litigant, has filed a complaint alleging misconduct by a United States District Judge in a civil proceeding. Consideration of this complaint has been assigned to me pursuant to Rule 25(f) of the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings (“JC&D Rules”).

Complainant complains that the judge “deliberately ignored and misrepresented [my] claims”—including the “crucial” claim that the defendants had acted without jurisdiction—“in order to ultimately dismiss [my] suit.”<sup>1</sup> Complainant further complains that the judge “ignored the law, grant[ed] immunity, [and] den[ied] having personal jurisdiction of the defendants” with the “obvious objective” of “allow[ing] the defendants to evade liability and accountability.”

To the extent that these allegations relate directly to the merits of decisions or procedural rulings, they are subject to dismissal under 28 U.S.C.


---

<sup>1</sup> Although Complainant has not identified the United States Magistrate Judge as a subject of the instant complaint, Complainant states that the magistrate judge’s Report and Recommendations also “deliberately ignore[d] and omit[ted] [my] claims that the defendants acted without jurisdiction.” The analysis and dismissal herein of Complainant’s allegations aimed at the district judge would also apply to the magistrate judge had he been named as a subject of the complaint.

§ 352(b)(1)(A)(ii). The complaint procedures in 28 U.S.C. §§ 351-364 may not be used to challenge the correctness of a judge's ruling or decision. *See* JC&D Rule 4(b)(1).

The conclusory assertion of improper motive is subject to dismissal under 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii) as "lacking sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred." Adverse decisions alone do not constitute evidence of bias. *See Liteky v. United States*, 510 U.S. 540, 555 (1994).

The complaint is DISMISSED. An unredacted private order is entered simultaneously herewith.

  
EDITH H. JONES  
*Circuit Judge*