

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

U. S. COURT OF APPEALS  
**FILED**

MAY 22 2019

FIFTH CIRCUIT  
LYLE W. GAYCE, CLERK

---

Docket Number: 05-19-90104

---


MEMORANDUM


Complainant, a pro se litigant, complains that the subject United States District Judge should not have dismissed his suit or denied his motion to recuse (both rulings affirmed on appeal by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit), and should not have granted the defendants' motion for attorneys' fees. Complainant urges that the judge's rulings were "grossly incorrect" and "sure demonstrated in hindsight the entire extreme bias abuse of judicial discretion and Judicial Misconduct."

The complaint relates directly to the merits of the judge's decisions and is therefore subject to dismissal under 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii).

Judicial misconduct proceedings are not a substitute for the normal appellate review process, nor may they be used to obtain reversal of a decision or a new trial.

An order dismissing the complaint is entered simultaneously herewith.

  
Carl E. Stewart  
Chief Judge

, 2019

BEFORE THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL  
OF THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

JUL 03 2019

FIFTH CIRCUIT  
LYLE W. GAYCE, CLERK

---

No. 05-19-90104

Petition for Review by [REDACTED]  
of the Final Order Filed May 22, 2019,  
Dismissing Judicial Misconduct Complaint  
Against [REDACTED]  
Under the Judicial Improvements Act of 2002.

---


ORDER

An Appellate Review Panel of the Judicial Council for the Fifth Circuit has reviewed the above-captioned petition for review, and all the members of the Panel have voted to affirm the order of Chief Judge Carl E. Stewart, filed May 22, 2019, dismissing the Complaint of [REDACTED] against [REDACTED] under the Judicial Improvements Act of 2002.

The Order is therefore

**AFFIRMED.**

6-28-2019  
Date

  
Priscilla R. Owen  
United States Circuit Judge  
For the Judicial Council of the Fifth Circuit