IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 91-6221 Conference Calendar

DAVID GRAY,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

versus

BROWN LEE, ET AL.,

Defendants-Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of USDC No. CA-H-89-3902

_ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _

(January 22, 1993)

Before GARWOOD, SMITH, and EMILIO M. GARZA, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

David Gray filed a civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 against various law enforcement and prison officials. In this appeal from the district court's order dismissing the complaint, Gray alleges cruel and unusual punishment, retaliation, conspiracy, illegal medical experiments, and denial of access to courts. His claim of illegal medical experiments to deprive him of his ideas is fanciful, delusional, and, thereby, frivolous. See Denton v. Hernandez, ____ U.S. ____, 112 S.Ct.

^{*} Local Rule 47.5 provides: "The publication of opinions that have no precedential value and merely decide particular cases on the basis of well-settled principles of law imposes needless expense on the public and burdens on the legal profession." Pursuant to that Rule, the Court has determined that this opinion should not be published.

1728, 1733, 118 L.Ed.2d (1992). As to the remaining claims, Gray fails to address the merits of the district court's order of dismissal or any errors in the legal analysis. See Brinkmann v. Dallas County Deputy Sheriff Abner, 813 F.2d 744, 748 (5th Cir. 1987). "We will not raise and discuss legal issues that [Gray] has failed to assert." Id.

AFFIRMED.