## United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit

No. 24-50570 Summary Calendar

\_\_\_\_\_

United States of America,

United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

**FILED** March 11, 2025

Lyle W. Cayce Clerk

Plaintiff—Appellee,

versus

EBLIN OMAR ARDON-AMAYA,

Defendant—Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas USDC No. 2:23-CR-2801-1

\_\_\_\_\_

Before Smith, Stewart, and Duncan, *Circuit Judges*.

Per Curiam:\*

Eblin Ardon-Amaya appeals following his conviction of illegal reentry in violation of 8 U.S.C. § 1326, contending for the first time on appeal that the sentencing enhancement in § 1326(b) is unconstitutional. The government moves for summary affirmance or, alternatively, for an extension of time to file its brief. Ardon-Amaya takes no position on the motion but concedes that his argument is foreclosed by *Almendarez-Torres v. United States*,

\* This opinion is not designated for publication. See 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.

\_

## No. 24-50570

523 U.S. 224 (1998).

The argument is foreclosed. See United States v. Pervis, 937 F.3d 546, 553-54 (5th Cir. 2019); see also Erlinger v. United States, 602 U.S. 821, 838 (2024) (explaining that Almendarez-Torres "persists as a narrow exception permitting judges to find only the fact of a prior conviction" (internal quotation marks and citation omitted)). Therefore, summary affirmance is appropriate. See Groendyke Transp., Inc. v. Davis, 406 F.2d 1158, 1162 (5th Cir. 1969).

Accordingly, the motion for summary affirmance is GRANTED, the alternative motion for an extension of time is DENIED, and the judgment is AFFIRMED.