## United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit

No. 24-11111 Summary Calendar

\_\_\_\_\_

United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

**FILED**June 20, 2025

Lyle W. Cayce Clerk

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff—Appellee,

versus

QUENTENE EUGENE WILLIAMS,

Defendant—Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas
USDC No. 2:24-CR-14-1

,\_\_\_\_\_

Before Graves, Willett, and Wilson, *Circuit Judges*.

Per Curiam:\*

The attorney appointed to represent Quentene Eugene Williams has moved for leave to withdraw and has filed a brief in accordance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and *United States v. Flores*, 632 F.3d 229 (5th Cir. 2011). Williams has not filed a response. We have reviewed counsel's brief and the relevant portions of the record reflected therein. We

\* This opinion is not designated for publication. *See* 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.

Case: 24-11111 Document: 44-1 Page: 2 Date Filed: 06/20/2025

## No. 24-11111

concur with counsel's assessment that the appeal presents no nonfrivolous issue for appellate review. Accordingly, counsel's motion for leave to withdraw is GRANTED, counsel is excused from further responsibilities herein, and the appeal is DISMISSED. *See* 5TH CIR. R. 42.2.

Our review reveals a clerical error in the written judgment. The district court orally ordered that Williams's sentence run concurrently to any sentence imposed in a pending case in the Eastern District of Wisconsin, case no. 05-CR-263. The written judgment, however, states that his sentence will run consecutively to any sentence imposed in case no. 05-CR-263. Accordingly, this matter is REMANDED for the limited purpose of correcting the clerical error in the judgment. *See* FED. R. CRIM. P. 36.