United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit United State

No. 23-60558

United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

FILED

May 2, 2024

Lyle W. Cayce Clerk

JOY MACK, OTHERS SO AFFECTED,

Plaintiff—Appellant,

versus

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, and U.S. Attorney General Merrick B. Garland; HHS OFFICE FOR HUMAN RESEARCH PROTECTIONS, and OHRP Director Dr. Jerry A. Menikoff; United States Department of Health and HUMAN SERVICES, and Secretary Xavier Becerra, in his official capacity; United States Department of Homeland Security, and DHS Secretary Alejandro Mayorkas; United States Secretary of THE DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, and DOA Secretary Thomas J. Vilsack; United States Department of Energy, and DOE Secretary Jennifer M. Granholm; NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION, and NASA Administrator Bill Nelson; United States Department of Commerce, and DOC Secretary Gina M. Raimondo; Social Security Administration, and SSA Acting Commissioner Dr. Kilolo Kijakazi; United States Agency for INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT, and US AID Administrator Samantha Power; United States Department of Housing and URBAN DEVELOPMENT, and HUD Secretary Marsha L. Fudge; UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF LABOR, and DOL Secretary Martin J. Walsh; United States Department of Defense, and DOD Secretary Lloyd J. Austin III; United States Department of EDUCATION, and DOE Secretary Dr. Miguel Cardona; UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS, and DVA Secretary Denis R. McDonough; Environmental Protection Agency, and EPA Administrator Michael S. Regan; NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION,

No. 23-60558

and NSF Director Dr. Sethuraman Panchanathan; UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION, and DOT Secretary Pete Buttigieg; FEDERAL COMMUNICATION COMMISSION, and Jessica Rosenworcel, Commissioner,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of Mississippi USDC No. 1:23-CV-13

Before CLEMENT, DUNCAN, and DOUGLAS, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

After reviewing the parties' briefs and the record, we find no reversible error. We AFFIRM. See 5TH CIR. R. 47.6.

^{*} This opinion is not designated for publication. See 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.