

United States Court of Appeals  
for the Fifth Circuit

---

No. 23-10948  
Summary Calendar

---

United States Court of Appeals  
Fifth Circuit

**FILED**

February 15, 2024

Lyle W. Cayce  
Clerk

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

*Plaintiff—Appellee,*

*versus*

CHRISTOPHER ALLEN RAGSDALE,

*Defendant—Appellant.*

---

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Northern District of Texas  
USDC No. 1:22-CR-72-1

---

Before JONES, SMITH, and DENNIS, *Circuit Judges.*

PER CURIAM:\*

The attorney appointed to represent Christopher Allen Ragsdale has moved for leave to withdraw and has filed a brief in accordance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and *United States v. Flores*, 632 F.3d 229 (5th Cir. 2011). Ragsdale has filed a response. The record is not sufficiently developed to allow us to make a fair evaluation of Ragsdale's claims of

---

\* This opinion is not designated for publication. See 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.

No. 23-10948

ineffective assistance of counsel; we therefore decline to consider the claims without prejudice to collateral review. *See United States v. Isgar*, 739 F.3d 829, 841 (5th Cir. 2014).

We have reviewed counsel's brief and the relevant portions of the record reflected therein, as well as Ragsdale's response. We concur with counsel's assessment that the appeal presents no nonfrivolous issue for appellate review. Accordingly, the motion for leave to withdraw is GRANTED, counsel is excused from further responsibilities herein, and the appeal is DISMISSED. *See 5TH CIR. R. 42.2*. Ragsdale's motion to appoint counsel is DENIED. *See United States v. Wagner*, 158 F.3d 901, 902-03 (5th Cir. 1998).