

United States Court of Appeals  
for the Fifth Circuit

---

No. 22-20642  
Summary Calendar

---

United States Court of Appeals  
Fifth Circuit

**FILED**  
August 1, 2023  
Lyle W. Cayce  
Clerk

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

*Plaintiff—Appellee,*

*versus*

MICHAEL DEBLASIO,

*Defendant—Appellant.*

---

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Southern District of Texas  
USDC No. 4:19-CR-457-1

---

Before JONES, SOUTHWICK, and HO, *Circuit Judges.*

PER CURIAM:\*

The attorney appointed to represent Michael Deblasio has moved for leave to withdraw and has filed a brief in accordance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and *United States v. Flores*, 632 F.3d 229 (5th Cir. 2011). Deblasio has filed a response. The record is not sufficiently developed to allow us to make a fair evaluation of Deblasio's claims of ineffective

---

\* This opinion is not designated for publication. See 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.

No. 22-20642

assistance of counsel; we therefore decline to consider the claims without prejudice to collateral review. *See United States v. Isgar*, 739 F.3d 829, 841 (5th Cir. 2014).

We have reviewed counsel's brief and the relevant portions of the record reflected therein, as well as Deblasio's response. We concur with counsel's assessment that the appeal presents no nonfrivolous issue for appellate review. Accordingly, the motion for leave to withdraw is GRANTED, counsel is excused from further responsibilities herein, Deblasio's motion for an extension to find and retain an attorney is DENIED, and the appeal is DISMISSED. *See* 5TH CIR. R. 42.2.