

United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit

No. 22-11051
Summary Calendar

United States Court of Appeals
Fifth Circuit

FILED

August 3, 2023

Lyle W. Cayce
Clerk

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff—Appellee,

versus

REGINALD RAYSHAWN TAYLOR,

Defendant—Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Northern District of Texas
USDC No. 4:22-CR-95-1

Before KING, HAYNES, and HIGGINSON, *Circuit Judges.*

PER CURIAM:*

The attorney appointed to represent Reginald Rayshawn Taylor has moved for leave to withdraw and has filed a brief in accordance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and *United States v. Flores*, 632 F.3d 229 (5th Cir. 2011). Taylor has not filed a response. We have reviewed counsel's brief and the relevant portions of the record reflected therein. We concur with counsel's assessment that the appeal presents no nonfrivolous issue for

* This opinion is not designated for publication. See 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.

No. 22-11051

appellate review.¹ Accordingly, counsel's motion for leave to withdraw is GRANTED, counsel is excused from further responsibilities herein, and the appeal is DISMISSED. *See* 5TH CIR. R. 42.2.

¹ Prior to the appointment of counsel for the appeal, Taylor filed this appeal pro se referencing a desire to bring a claim for ineffective assistance of counsel under 28 U.S.C. § 2255. Because this is a direct appeal of the original judgment, that statute is not properly before us. Our dismissal does not preclude the filing of an appropriate § 2255 claim in the district court.