

United States Court of Appeals  
for the Fifth Circuit

United States Court of Appeals  
Fifth Circuit

**FILED**

June 24, 2022

Lyle W. Cayce  
Clerk

---

No. 21-51143  
CONSOLIDATED WITH  
No. 21-51144  
Summary Calendar

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

*Plaintiff—Appellee,*

*versus*

FAUSTINO SANCHEZ-LUGO,

*Defendant—Appellant.*

---

Appeals from the United States District Court  
for the Western District of Texas  
USDC No. 2:14-CR-191-1  
USDC No. 2:20-CR-1249-1

---

Before SOUTHWICK, OLDHAM, and WILSON, *Circuit Judges*.

PER CURIAM:\*

Faustino Sanchez-Lugo appeals his conviction for illegal reentry into the United States under 8 U.S.C. § 1326, along with the revocation of the

---

\* Pursuant to 5TH CIRCUIT RULE 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIRCUIT RULE 47.5.4.

No. 21-51143 c/w

No. 21-51144

term of supervised release he was serving at the time of the offense. He has not briefed, and has thus abandoned, any challenge to the revocation of supervised release or to his revocation sentence. *See United States v. Reagan*, 596 F.3d 251, 254–55 (5th Cir. 2010).

For the first time on appeal, Sanchez-Lugo contends that Section 1326(b) is unconstitutional because it allows for the imposition of a sentence above the otherwise applicable statutory maximum based on facts that are neither alleged in the indictment nor found by a jury beyond a reasonable doubt. He has filed an unopposed motion for summary disposition and a letter brief in which he acknowledges that his argument is foreclosed by *Almendarez-Torres v. United States*, 523 U.S. 224 (1998). Sanchez-Lugo explains that he raises this issue only to preserve it for further review.

Summary disposition is proper. Sanchez-Lugo's motion is GRANTED, and the judgments of the district court are AFFIRMED.