

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT**

United States Court of Appeals  
Fifth Circuit

**FILED**

May 8, 2020

Lyle W. Cayce  
Clerk

---

No. 19-11035  
Conference Calendar

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee

v.

JUSTIN RAY MONROE,

Defendant-Appellant

---

Appeals from the United States District Court  
for the Northern District of Texas  
USDC No. 3:18-CR-6-8

---

Before HAYNES, DUNCAN, and ENGELHARDT, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:\*

The attorney appointed to represent Justin Ray Monroe has moved for leave to withdraw and has filed a brief in accordance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and *United States v. Flores*, 632 F.3d 229 (5th Cir. 2011). Monroe has filed a response. The record is not sufficiently developed to allow us to make a fair evaluation of Monroe's claims of ineffective assistance or coercion by counsel; we therefore decline to consider the claims without

---

\* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

No. 19-11035

prejudice to collateral review. *See United States v. Isgar*, 739 F.3d 829, 841 (5th Cir. 2014); *United States v. Corbett*, 742 F.2d 173, 176-78 (5th Cir. 1984).

We have reviewed counsel's brief and the relevant portions of the record reflected therein, as well as Monroe's response. We concur with counsel's assessment that the appeal presents no nonfrivolous issue for appellate review. Accordingly, the motion for leave to withdraw is GRANTED, counsel is excused from further responsibilities herein, and the APPEAL IS DISMISSED. *See* 5TH CIR. R. 42.2.