## IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 13-50668 Summary Calendar United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

> FILED June 6, 2014

Lyle W. Cayce Clerk

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee

v.

LETICIA BRETZ, also known as Leticia Marquez,

Defendant-Appellant

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas USDC No. 7:13-CR-10-7

Before JOLLY, SMITH, and CLEMENT, Circuit Judges. PER CURIAM:\*

The attorney appointed to represent Leticia Bretz has moved for leave to withdraw and has filed a brief in accordance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and *United States v. Flores*, 632 F.3d 229 (5th Cir. 2011). Bretz has filed a response. The record is not sufficiently developed to allow us to make a fair evaluation of Bretz's claim of ineffective assistance of counsel;

<sup>\*</sup> Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

No. 13-50668

we therefore decline to consider the claim without prejudice to collateral review. See United States v. Isgar, 739 F.3d 829, 841 (5th Cir. 2014).

We have reviewed counsel's brief and the relevant portions of the record reflected therein, as well as Bretz's response. We concur with counsel's assessment that the appeal presents no nonfrivolous issue for appellate review. Accordingly, the motion for leave to withdraw is GRANTED, counsel is excused from further responsibilities herein, and the APPEAL IS DISMISSED. *See* 5TH CIR. R. 42.2.