## IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 13-10947 Conference Calendar

United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

**FILED** 

April 16, 2014

Lyle W. Cayce Clerk

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee

v.

OSAMU JOHN HACK,

Defendant-Appellant

Appeals from the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas USDC No. 4:13-CR-35-1

\_\_\_\_

Before PRADO, ELROD, and HAYNES, Circuit Judges. PER CURIAM:\*

The attorney appointed to represent Osamu John Hack has moved for leave to withdraw and has filed a brief in accordance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and *United States v. Flores*, 632 F.3d 229 (5th Cir. 2011). Hack has filed a response. To the extent he is raising a claim of ineffective assistance of counsel, the record does not provide sufficient detail to allow us to make a fair evaluation of Hack's claim of ineffective assistance of counsel;

<sup>\*</sup> Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

No. 13-10947

we therefore decline to consider the claim without prejudice to collateral review. See United States v. Isgar, 739 F.3d 829, 841 (5th Cir. 2014). We have reviewed counsel's brief and the relevant portions of the record reflected therein, as well as Hack's response. We concur with counsel's assessment that the appeal presents no nonfrivolous issue for appellate review. Accordingly, the motion for leave to withdraw is GRANTED, counsel is excused from further responsibilities herein, and the APPEAL IS DISMISSED. See 5TH CIR. R. 42.2.

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  See also 28 U.S.C. § 2255.