## IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 13-10792 Summary Calendar

United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

**FILED** 

April 17, 2014

Lyle W. Cayce Clerk

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee

v.

OVSANNA AGOPIAN, also known as Joanna Ovsanna Agopian, also known as Joanna Smbatyan,

Defendant-Appellant

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas USDC No. 3:11-CR-308-1

Before KING, DAVIS, and ELROD, Circuit Judges.

## PER CURIAM:\*

The attorney appointed to represent Ovsanna Agopian has moved for leave to withdraw and has filed a brief in accordance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and *United States v. Flores*, 632 F.3d 229 (5th Cir. 2011). Agopian has filed a response. She also moves this court for the appointment of new counsel on appeal and moves to strike the *Anders* brief filed in this case.

<sup>\*</sup> Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

No. 13-10792

The record is insufficiently developed to allow consideration at this time of Agopian's claim of ineffective assistance of counsel; such a claim generally "cannot be resolved on direct appeal when the claim has not been raised before the district court since no opportunity existed to develop the record on the merits of the allegations." *United States v. Cantwell*, 470 F.3d 1087, 1091 (5th Cir. 2006) (internal quotation marks and citation omitted). We have reviewed counsel's brief and the relevant portions of the record reflected therein, as well as Agopian's response. We concur with counsel's assessment that the appeal presents no nonfrivolous issue for appellate review. Accordingly, the motion for leave to withdraw is GRANTED, counsel is excused from further responsibilities herein, and the APPEAL IS DISMISSED. *See* 5TH CIR. R. 42.2. Agopian's motion for appointment of counsel on appeal and her motion to strike counsel's *Anders* brief are also DENIED. *See United States v. Wagner*, 158 F.3d 901, 902-03 (5th Cir. 1998).