

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT**

United States Court of Appeals  
Fifth Circuit

**FILED**

July 11, 2011

Lyle W. Cayce  
Clerk

---

No. 10-50948  
Summary Calendar

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee

v.

EDGAR CRUZ,

Defendant-Appellant

---

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Western District of Texas  
USDC No. 3:10-CR-1035-1

---

Before WIENER, PRADO and OWEN, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:\*

Edgar Cruz pleaded guilty to importation of marijuana and possession with intent to distribute marijuana, and he was sentenced within the guidelines range to 77 months of imprisonment and four years of supervised release on each count, to be served concurrently.

Cruz argues on appeal that the district court imposed an unreasonable sentence when it sentenced him at the bottom of the advisory guidelines range to 77 months of imprisonment. He contends that the career offender

---

\* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

No. 10-50948

enhancement overstated the seriousness of his offense and did not take into consideration any of the 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) factors. He also contends that his sentence was greater than necessary because of mitigating factors in his personal history and characteristics and his family responsibilities.

Because Cruz did not object to the reasonableness of his sentence in the district court, the issue should be reviewed for plain error. *See United States v. Peltier*, 505 F.3d 389, 391-92 (5th Cir. 2007). The record demonstrates that the district court considered Cruz's arguments and the § 3553(a) factors in imposing his within-Guidelines sentence, and thus Cruz has failed to rebut the presumption that his sentence was reasonable. *See United States v. Campos-Maldonado*, 531 F.3d 337, 338 (5th Cir. 2008). As a result, Cruz has not shown that the district court committed plain error by imposing an unreasonable sentence. *See Peltier*, 505 F.3d at 391-92.

AFFIRMED.