## IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT United States Cou

United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

FILED
February 3, 2012

No. 10-50778 Summary Calendar

Lyle W. Cayce Clerk

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee

v.

 $\label{eq:control} ERMI\,ARNOLDO\,BECCERRA-MORALES, also known as Juan\,Alonso\,Montes-Torres,$ 

Defendant-Appellant

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas USDC No. 3:10-CR-654-1

Before KING, JOLLY, and GRAVES, Circuit Judges. PER CURIAM:\*

Ermi Arnoldo Beccerra-Morales was convicted of one charge of attempted illegal reentry into the United States as well as one charge of false personation in immigration matters and was sentenced to serve a within-Guidelines sentence of 46 months in prison and a three-year term of supervised release. In this appeal, Beccerra-Morales argues that his sentence is improper because he did

 $<sup>^{*}</sup>$  Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

No. 10-50778

not admit that his prior deportation occurred subsequent to his conviction for a drug-trafficking offense.

We review this claim for plain error only due to Beccerra-Morales's failure to present it to the district court. *United States v. Rojas-Luna*, 522 F.3d 502, 504 (5th Cir. 2008). An error is plain if it was clear or obvious and affected the defendant's substantial rights. *Puckett v. United States*, 129 S. Ct. 1423, 1429 (2009). Our review of the record, Beccerra-Morales's arguments, and pertinent authority shows that he has not met this standard. Instead, the record shows sufficient support for the district court's sentencing decision. *See United States v. Almendarez-Torres*, 523 U.S. 224, 226-27 (1998); *Rojas-Luna*, 522 F.3d at 504-06.

This appeal is not frivolous and, although we conclude that the judgment should be affirmed without further briefing, summary affirmance is not appropriate. See United States v. Holy Land Found. for Relief & Dev., 445 F.3d 771, 781 (5th Cir. 2006). Thus, we affirm the judgment of the district court and deny the Government's motion for summary affirmance or, alternatively, for an extension of time to file a brief.

AFFIRMED; MOTION DENIED.