IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT United States Cou

United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

FILEDJune 21, 2011

No. 10-40915 Conference Calendar

Lyle W. Cayce Clerk

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee

v.

PAUL RAY JACKSON,

Defendant-Appellant

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas USDC No. 6:09-CR-99-1

Before JONES, Chief Judge, and STEWART and SOUTHWICK, Circuit Judges. PER CURIAM: *

The attorney appointed to represent Paul Ray Jackson has moved for leave to withdraw and has filed a brief in accordance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and *United States v. Flores*, 632 F.3d 229 (5th Cir. 2011). Jackson has filed a response. The record is insufficiently developed to allow consideration at this time of Jackson's claim of ineffective assistance of counsel; such a claim generally "cannot be resolved on direct appeal when the claim has not been raised before the district court since no opportunity existed to develop

 $^{^{*}}$ Pursuant to 5th Cir. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5th Cir. R. 47.5.4.

No. 10-40915

the record on the merits of the allegations." *United States v. Cantwell*, 470 F.3d 1087, 1091 (5th Cir. 2006) (internal quotation marks and citation omitted). We have reviewed counsel's brief and the relevant portions of the record reflected therein, as well as Jackson's response. We concur with counsel's assessment that the appeal presents no nonfrivolous issue for appellate review. Accordingly, the motion for leave to withdraw is GRANTED, counsel is excused from further responsibilities herein, and the APPEAL IS DISMISSED. *See* 5TH CIR. R. 42.2. Jackson's motion for authorization to proceed pro se on appeal is DENIED. *See United States v. Wagner*, 158 F.3d 901, 902-03 (5th Cir. 1998)