

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT**

United States Court of Appeals
Fifth Circuit

FILED

December 8, 2010

Lyle W. Cayce
Clerk

No. 10-40032
Conference Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee

v.

KENNETH WINNINGHAM, also known as Kenneth Shuland,

Defendant-Appellant

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Eastern District of Texas
USDC No. 6:06-CR-5-1

Before KING, BENAVIDES, and PRADO, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

The attorney appointed to represent Kenneth Winningham on appeal has moved for leave to withdraw and has filed a brief in accordance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). Winningham has filed a response and a motion for the appointment of new counsel. The record is insufficiently developed to allow consideration at this time of Winningham's claims of ineffective assistance of counsel; such claims generally "cannot be resolved on direct appeal when [they have] not been raised before the district court since no opportunity existed to

* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

No. 10-40032

develop the record on the merits of the allegations.” *United States v. Cantwell*, 470 F.3d 1087, 1091 (5th Cir. 2006) (internal quotation marks and citation omitted). Our independent review of the record, counsel’s brief, and Winningham’s response discloses no nonfrivolous issue for appeal. Accordingly, the motion for leave to withdraw is GRANTED, counsel is excused from further responsibilities herein, and the APPEAL IS DISMISSED. *See* 5TH CIR. R. 42.2. Winningham’s motion for the appointment of counsel is DENIED.