

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT**

United States Court of Appeals  
Fifth Circuit

**FILED**

June 10, 2011

Lyle W. Cayce  
Clerk

\_\_\_\_\_

No. 10-30724  
Summary Calendar

\_\_\_\_\_

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

JIMMY NIXON,

Defendant-Appellant.

\_\_\_\_\_

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Middle District of Louisiana  
USDC No. 3:96-CR-105-1

\_\_\_\_\_

Before DAVIS, SMITH, and SOUTHWICK, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:\*

Jimmy Nixon, federal prisoner # 12863-116, moves to proceed *in forma pauperis* (“IFP”) in this appeal of the denial of his motion for a sentencing reduc-

\_\_\_\_\_

\* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

No. 10-30724

tion under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2), which authorizes a reduction “in the case of a defendant who has been sentenced to a term of imprisonment based on a sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered by the Sentencing Commission.” § 3582(c)(2); *Dillon v. United States*, 130 S. Ct. 2683, 2691 (2010). Nixon has not shown, in the district court or on appeal, that he is eligible for a § 3582(c)(2) reduction based on an amendment to the Sentencing Guidelines because of his assertion that his military service was not taken into account at sentencing.

Nixon has not shown that the denial of his motion presents a nonfrivolous issue for appeal. Accordingly, his motion to proceed IFP is denied, and the appeal is dismissed. 5TH CIR. R. 42.2.