## IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT United States Cou

United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

FILED
October 9, 2013

No. 10-20301 Summary Calendar

Lyle W. Cayce Clerk

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee

v.

MIGUEL ZAMORA,

Defendant-Appellant

Appeals from the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas USDC No. 4:07-CR-400-2

Before HIGGINBOTHAM, DENNIS, and GRAVES, Circuit Judges. PER CURIAM:  $^{\ast}$ 

The attorney appointed to represent Miguel Zamora has moved for leave to withdraw and has filed a brief in accordance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and *United States v. Flores*, 632 F.3d 229 (5th Cir. 2011). Zamora has filed a response. To the extent that Zamora raises claims of ineffective assistance of counsel, the record is insufficiently developed to allow consideration of his claims at this time; such claims generally "cannot be resolved on direct appeal when the claim[s] ha[ve] not been raised before the

 $<sup>^{*}</sup>$  Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

No. 10-20301

district court since no opportunity existed to develop the record on the merits of the allegations." *United States v. Cantwell*, 470 F.3d 1087, 1091 (5th Cir. 2006) (internal quotation marks and citation omitted). We have reviewed counsel's brief and the relevant portions of the record reflected therein, as well as Zamora's response. We concur with counsel's assessment that the appeal presents no nonfrivolous issue for appellate review. Accordingly, counsel's motion for leave to withdraw is GRANTED, counsel is excused from further responsibilities herein, and the APPEAL IS DISMISSED. *See* 5TH CIR. R. 42.2.