

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT**

United States Court of Appeals  
Fifth Circuit

**FILED**

November 5, 2010

---

No. 09-41242  
Summary Calendar

---

Lyle W. Cayce  
Clerk

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee

v.

HUMBERTO LOMA-PEREZ,

Defendant-Appellant

---

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Southern District of Texas  
USDC No. 2:09-CR-715-1

---

Before KING, DeMOSS, and DENNIS, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:\*

Humberto Loma-Perez (Loma) appeals from the 22-month non-guidelines sentence imposed by the district court following his conviction for illegal reentry. Even if Loma preserved in the district court the errors he now alleges, he fails to show that his sentence is unreasonable. *See Gall v. United States*, 552 U.S. 38, 51 (2007). Contrary to Loma's contention, it was not improper for the district court to consider for sentencing purposes crimes that he committed in the 1990's, including auto larceny, simply because they were too old to contribute to his

---

\* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

No. 09-41242

guidelines range. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a). Further, Loma's argument that he has overcome the alcoholism that caused him to commit crimes in the past ignores that he committed, but was not prosecuted for, four other illegal reentries between 1999 and 2008; the last two of these reentries, as well as the instant count of conviction, were committed following his alleged sobriety. Under these circumstances, Loma fails to show that the district court abused its discretion by imposing a sentence above the guidelines range to protect the public and to deter Loma from committing future crimes. *See Gall*, 552 U.S. at 51; § 3553(a).

The four-month upward variance from the guidelines range of 18 to 22 months of imprisonment is considerably less than other sentences that this court has affirmed. *See United States v. Smith*, 440 F.3d 704, 708 n.5, 709-10 (5th Cir. 2006) (upholding variance from guidelines range maximum of 27 months to 60 months); *United States v. Smith*, 417 F.3d 483, 492 (5th Cir. 2005) (upholding departure from guidelines range maximum of 41 months to 120 months).

AFFIRMED.