United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

August 28, 2006

Charles R. Fulbruge III Clerk

No. 05-51335 Conference Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

ELIAS CARRILLO, JR., also known as Lie, also known as Old Boy,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas

USDC No. 7:05-CR-48-2

Before DAVIS, SMITH, and WIENER, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

Elias Carrillo, Jr., appeals his guilty-plea conviction for conspiracy to manufacture, distribute, and possess with intent to distribute more than 50 grams of crack cocaine in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) and 846. He contends that the factual basis was insufficient to support his conviction because it failed to establish the essential elements of the crime of conspiracy. Because Carrillo did not challenge the sufficiency of the factual basis in the district court, review is for plain

^{*} Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

error. <u>United States v. Angeles-Mascote</u>, 206 F.3d 529, 530 (5th Cir. 2000). The Government does not seek to invoke the appeal waiver and has thus waived the issue. <u>See United States v.</u>
<u>Story</u>, 439 F.3d 226, 230-31 (5th Cir. 2006).

The factual basis provided ample evidence from which to infer that a conspiracy to manufacture, distribute, and possess with intent to distribute more than 50 grams of crack cocaine existed and that Carrillo participated in the conspiracy knowingly and voluntarily. The factual basis detailed a conspiracy beginning on or about April 15, 1996, and continuing through March 16, 2005, involving 21 named conspirators and The factual basis described at least nine coded phone calls between Carrillo and named and unnamed coconspirators discussing various drug trafficking activities. Further, the factual basis provided that on September 1, 2004, Carrillo aided and abetted an unnamed coconspirator in the possession with intent to distribute of more than 50 grams of crack cocaine, as well as distribution of 5 grams of crack cocaine on August 24, 2004. Therefore, the factual basis was sufficient to support the district court's acceptance of Carrillo's guilty plea. Accordingly, Carrillo has failed to establish plain error, and the district court's judgment is AFFIRMED.