FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

March 1, 2006

FOR	THE	FIFTH	CIRCUIT

Charles R. Fulbruge III Clerk

No. 04-61040

DONNIE RAY STEVENSON,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

CONTINENTAL EAGLE CORPORATION, as successor to Continental Gin Company and Continental Moss-Gordin, Inc.; JOHN DOES,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court

for the Southern District of Mississippi, Jackson USDC No. 3:03-CV-322

Before JOLLY, SMITH, and GARZA, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

For the following reasons, we affirm the district court:

- 1. We find no material difference between this case and the claims made in <u>Austin v. Will-Burt Co.</u>, 361 F.3d 862 (5th Cir. 2004). Because <u>Austin</u> controls this case, we find that the district court did not err in granting the defendant's Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law on plaintiff's defective design claim.
- 2. We further find that the district court did not abuse its discretion by refusing to allow the plaintiff to introduce an

^{*} Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the Court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

exhibit showing that the defendant got eight responses to thousands of cautionary mail-outs it sent. No post-sale duty to warn exists under Mississippi law, nor may a party be held liable for negligent performance of a voluntary act unless the plaintiff detrimentally relied upon the performance. Austin, 361 F.3d at 870. It is clear that the plaintiff did not detrimentally rely on the mail-outs, as he admits to having no knowledge of them. Thus, the district court did not err in excluding this exhibit for relevance.

Accordingly, the judgment of the district court is

AFFIRMED.