United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

## FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

June 24, 2003

Charles R. Fulbruge III
Clerk

No. 02-51208 Conference Calendar

RODOLFO RIVERA MUNOZ,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

versus

THE STATE OF TEXAS; THE STATE BAR OF TEXAS; PAT BOONE, Judge; BEXAR COUNTY TEXAS; CARMEN ROJO; ALMA LOPEZ, The Honorable Justice for the Fourth Court of Appeals of the State of Texas,

Defendants-Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas
USDC No. SA-02-CV-626

\_\_\_\_\_

Before DeMOSS, DENNIS, and PRADO, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:\*

Rodolfo Rivera Munoz appeals the dismissal of a federal case seeking both habeas corpus relief and civil rights redress against a wide-ranging group of defendants. Though he has provided a comprehensive account of the subjugation of the autochthonous peoples of the southwestern United States, he has failed to address the bases for dismissal of his civil rights claims and had thus abandoned those claims by his failure to

 $<sup>^{*}</sup>$  Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

brief them. Brinkmann v. Dallas County Deputy Sheriff Abner, 813 F.2d 744, 748 (5th Cir. 1987). To the extent that Munoz argues that he is subjected to custody as a member of the subjugated native population, Munoz has failed to allege a factual basis sufficient to support a finding of particularized treatment or custody. See Campbell v. City of San Antonio, 43 F.3d 973, 975 (5th Cir. 1995). Therefore, the district court's dismissal of his complaint is AFFIRMED.