IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

> No. 02-20418 Conference Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

CHRISTOPHER WILKINS, also known as Chris Watson,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas USDC No. H-01-CR-276-ALL February 20, 2003

Before WIENER, EMILIO M. GARZA, and CLEMENT, Circuit Judges. PER CURIAM:*

Chris Iles, private counsel appointed to represent Christopher Wilkins on appeal, has requested leave to withdraw and has filed a brief as required by <u>Anders v. California</u>, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). Wilkins has filed a response to counsel's motion, in which he argues, <u>inter alia</u>, that trial counsel was ineffective. The record has not been adequately developed for us to consider Wilkins' argument on direct appeal. <u>See United</u> <u>States v. Haese</u>, 162 F.3d 359, 363-64 (5th Cir 1998). Our

 $^{^*}$ Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

independent review of counsel's brief, Wilkins' response, and the record discloses no nonfrivolous issue. Accordingly, counsel's motion for leave to withdraw is GRANTED, counsel is excused from further responsibilities herein, and the APPEAL IS DISMISSED. <u>See</u> 5TH CIR. R. 42.2.