IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

_____

No. 01-10077
Conference Calendar
_____

DANIEL KENT YANTIS,

                                        Plaintiff-Appellant,

versus

U.S. BUREAU OF PRISONS; FEDERAL CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION
SEAGONVILLE TEXAS; NFN CHILDS, Administrator; NFN BURSEY,
Officer; NFN SALMON, Officer; NFN GUNDY, Officer; NFN ROBERTS,
Counselor; RONALD G. THOMPSON, Regional Director, Bureau of
Prisons,

                                        Defendants-Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Northern District of Texas
USDC No. 3:00-CV-805-P

August 21, 2001

Before KING, Chief Judge, and POLITZ and PARKER, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:[*]

     Daniel Kent Yantis, federal prisoner No. 33991-077, appeals
the district court's dismissal of his complaint pursuant to 28
U.S.C. §§ 1915A (b)(1) and 1915(e)(2)(b)(i). However, Yantis
does not challenge the district court's procedural rulings and he
does not cite the record or offer any factual argument to explain
why the district court allegedly erred in determining that his
complaint was frivolous. See Yohey v. Collins, 985 F.2d 222,

224-25 (5th Cir. 1993). Yantis's appeal is thus without arguable merit and is frivolous. See Howard v. King, 707 F.2d 215, 219-20 (5th Cir. 1983). Because the appeal is frivolous, it is DISMISSED. See 5th Cir. R. 42.2.

The dismissal of this appeal and the dismissal as frivolous by the district court each count as a "strike" for purposes of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). See Adepegba v. Hammons, 103 F.3d 383, 387-88 (5th Cir. 1996). Yantis, therefore, has two "strikes" under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). We caution Yantis that once he accumulates three strikes, he may not proceed in forma pauperis in any civil action or appeal filed while he is incarcerated or detained in any facility unless he is under imminent danger of serious physical injury. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).

APPEAL DISMISSED; SANCTIONS WARNING ISSUED.