United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

August 10, 2004

Charles R. Fulbruge III Clerk

No. 03-11330 Summary Calendar

ALANDA JABAR CLAYTON,

Petitioner-Appellant,

versus

L. E. FLEMING, Warden, Federal Medical Center-Fort Worth,

Respondent-Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas USDC No. 4:03-CV-1241-A

Before EMILIO M. GARZA, BENAVIDES and CLEMENT, Circuit Judges. PER CURIAM:*

Alanda Jabar Clayton, federal prisoner # 13570-064, appeals the district court's denial of his 28 U.S.C. § 2241 petition alleging that his constitutional rights were violated when the Bureau of Prisons ("BOP") denied him a one-year sentence reduction, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3621(e), after initially informing him that he was eligible for such a reduction.

Clayton argues that the Supreme Court decision in Lopez v. Davis, 531 U.S. 230 (2001), was impermissibly applied

^{*} Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

retroactively to deprive him of eligibility for a one-year reduction in his sentence. Contrary to Clayton's belief, <u>Lopez</u> did not create a new law that denied him eligibility for early release under 18 U.S.C. § 3621(e). Because <u>Lopez</u> merely declared permissible a regulation that had gone into effect prior to Clayton's conviction, there is no retroactivity issue here.

Clayton argues that when his sentence was recalculated he acquired a liberty interest in early release and that the subsequent change in his eligibility status for early release violated his due process rights. His claims fail because there is no protected liberty interest in early release under 18 U.S.C. § 3621(e). <u>See Rublee v. Fleming</u>, 160 F.3d 213, 216 (5th Cir. 1998); <u>Venegas v. Henman</u>, 126 F.3d 760, 765 (5th Cir. 1997). Accordingly, the district court did not err in denying Clayton's petition, and its judgment is AFFIRMED.