IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 99-60845 Summary Calendar

TERRY HANSON,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

versus

MISSISSIPPI BOARD OF NURSING; MARSHA RACHEL, DR., Individually and in her official capacity as Executive Director of the Mississippi Board of Nursing; LAURA KELLY, RN, individually and in her official capacity as member of the Mississippi Board of Nursing; THELMA LATHAM, RN, Individually and in her official capacity as member of the Mississippi Board of Nursing; BARBARA HAYMAN, RN, Individually and in her official capacity as member of the Mississippi Board of Nursing; BARBARA HAYMAN, RN, Individually and in her official capacity as member of the Mississippi Board of Nursing; KAREN SAUCIER LUNDY, DR., RN, Individually and in her official capacity as member of the Mississippi Board of Nursing; GLADYS HUGHES, LPN, Individually and in her official capacity as member of the Mississippi Board of Nursing; LADONNA KAY NORTHINGTON, RN, Individually and in her official capacity as member of the Mississippi Board of Nursing; IVA MAE BLACKLEDGE, LPN, Individually and in her official capacity as member of the Mississippi Board of Nursing ; J. PURVES MCLAURIN, JR., DR., Individually and in his official capacity as member of the Mississippi Board of Nursing; PEGGY BRANDY, LPN, Individually and in her official capacity as member of the Mississippi Board of Nursing; RENEE WILLIAMS, RN, Individually and in her official capacity as member of the Mississippi Board of Nursing; MARY PATRICIA CURTIS, DR., RN, FNP, Individually and in her official capacity as member of the Mississippi Board of Nursing; CHARLOTTE WOOD, DR., RN, FNP, Individually and in her official capacity as member of the Mississippi Board of Nursing; CLEOPATRA HUDSON, LPN, Individually and in her official capacity as member of the Mississippi Board of Nursing; PATRICIA D. WISE, In her official capacity as Chancellor of Hinds County, Mississippi; and J.B. TORRENCE, In his official capacity as Sheriff of Rankin County, Mississippi,

Defendants-Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of Mississippi USDC No. 3:94-CV-9-LN June 21, 2000

Before DAVIS, EMILIO M. GARZA and DENNIS, Circuit Judges. PER CURIAM:*

Terry Hanson ("Hanson") appeals the district court's judgment dismissing her 42 U.S.C. § 1983 civil rights action and denying her motion for an award of attorney's fees and expenses pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1988. Hanson contends that she was entitled to attorney's fees and expenses because she was a prevailing party in the civil rights action by virtue of the agreed order on her motion for a temporary restraining order ("TRO").

The district court did not clearly err in determining that Hanson was not a prevailing party. <u>See Scham v. District Courts</u> <u>Trying Criminal Cases</u>, 148 F.3d 554, 557 (5th Cir. 1998). A TRO "cannot constitute the type [of] merit-based relief that affords a plaintiff prevailing party status." <u>Foreman v. City of Dallas</u>, <u>Tex.</u>, 193 F.3d 314, 323 (5th Cir. 1999). The parties' agreed order on Hanson's motion for a TRO is, if not itself a TRO, at least analogous to one. The agreed order was not a final remedy, since by its own terms it expired within six weeks of its issuance; nor did the agreed order provide merits-based relief on Hanson's constitutional claims, which were expressly reserved.

 $^{^*}$ Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

<u>See id.</u> The essential purpose of the agreed order was apparently to allow Hanson to maintain her nursing license. As the agreed order was filed on the same day that the TRO suspending Hanson's nursing license expired, the agreed order effectively preserved the status quo. <u>See id.</u>

In light of the foregoing, the district court's judgment is AFFIRMED.