

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FIFTH CIRCUIT**

---

No. 99-10766

---

MICHAEL B ELLIS,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

versus

CITY OF CARROLLTON, Etc; ET AL,

Defendants

CITY OF CARROLLTON, a Municipal Corporation; KAREN N  
BROPHY; LYNN NUNN; LYNN BOLISH; SHIRLEY  
ARMSTRONG; MICHAEL DREWRY

Defendants-Appellees.

---

Case No. 99-10906

---

MICHAEL B ELLIS

Plaintiff-Appellant

v.

CITY OF GARLAND; ROBERT BEASLEY; CHARLES M  
HINTON, JR; RON JONES, II; M SHANNON KACKLEY;  
MONTRICE SESSION; DEBBIE FREY

Defendants-Appellees.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Northern District of Texas, Dallas

---

July 11, 2000

**ON PETITION FOR REHEARING**

Before DAVIS, EMILIO M. GARZA, and DENNIS, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:

Appellant's motion for leave to file petition for rehearing out of time is GRANTED.

In response to petitioner's allegation of factual error, the third sentence of the paragraph beginning on page three of our opinion is modified to read, "Ellis appealed his Garland convictions to the County Court of Criminal Appeals of Dallas County, which affirmed his conviction. The Court of Appeals, Fifth District of Texas at Dallas dismissed his subsequent appeal as untimely."

Additionally, footnote 4 is amended to read, "Ellis claims that Judge Beasley is not entitled to judicial immunity because he was not properly certified as a judge under Texas law. The County Court of Criminal Appeals of Dallas County affirmed Judge Beasley's ruling, implicitly recognizing it as that of a valid court. The Court of Appeals, Fifth District of Texas at Dallas dismissed Ellis's appeal as untimely, also implicitly finding that Judge Beasley had the authority to issue the ruling. Without any evidence from Ellis that this basic assumption was incorrect, we will not disturb that finding here."

With these modifications, the petition for rehearing filed in the above case is DENIED.

IT IS ORDERED.

ENTERED FOR THE COURT:

---

United States Circuit Judge