IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

> No. 98-50618 Conference Calendar

DALJIT S. JANDU,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

versus

PARTNERSHIP FOR NEW GENERATION VEHICLES; UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Defendants-Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas USDC No. A-98-CV-107

February 11, 1999

Before BARKSDALE and EMILIO M. GARZA, Circuit Judges.* PER CURIAM:**

Daljit Jandu appeals the district court's order dismissing his civil lawsuit premised on federal-question jurisdiction and imposing \$1,000 in sanctions. Jandu, however, has not identified any error on the part of the district court. He has failed to brief the only two issues arguably on appeal, that is, whether the district court erred either in dismissing his suit or in

^{*}This matter is being decided by a quorum. 28 U.S.C. \S 46(d).

^{**} Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

imposing sanctions. Jandu has presented nothing for this court to review. His appeal is frivolous and is therefore DISMISSED. <u>See</u> <u>Yohey v. Collins</u>, 985 F.2d 222, 224-25 (5th Cir. 1993); <u>Brinkmann</u> <u>v. Dallas County Deputy Sheriff Abner</u>, 813 F.2d 744, 748 (5th Cir. 1987); 5TH CIR. R. 42.2. We warn Jandu that any additional frivolous appeals filed by him or on his behalf will invite the imposition of sanctions. To avoid sanctions, Jandu is cautioned to review any pending appeals to ensure that they do not raise arguments that are frivolous. In light of this disposition, the motion for leave to file a supplemental letter brief filed by Partnership for New Generation Vehicles is DENIED as unnecessary. Jandu's motion for decision support and his motion to expedite his appeal are also DENIED.

MOTIONS DENIED; APPEAL DISMISSED; SANCTIONS WARNING ISSUED.