

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

---

No. 97-20097  
Summary Calendar

---

RUDY M. GROOM,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

versus

KENTON R. FICKES, JR., ET AL.,

Defendants,

KENTON R. FICKES, JR.; THOMAS E. ARTRU,

Defendants-Appellees.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the  
Southern District of Texas  
USDC No. H-96-CV-1736

---

September 17, 1997  
Before JOLLY, BENAVIDES, and PARKER, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:\*

Rudy M. Groom appeals from the district court's dismissal of his civil rights complaint. He argues that the district court erred by dismissing his claims against defendant Artru because he had pleaded facts sufficient to overcome the defense of qualified immunity and that the court erred by dismissing his claims against defendant Fickes as barred by the applicable statutes of

---

\*Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

limitations. We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, the judgment is AFFIRMED for essentially the reasons stated by the district court.

A F F I R M E D.