## IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 96-60867 Conference Calendar

PAUL CLARK,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

versus

ROY ANDERSON CORP.; R.A. BUILDING CORP.,

Defendants-Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of Mississippi USDC No. 1:95-CV-585-R-R

. - - - - - - - -

- - - - - - - - -

August 15, 1997

Before KING, HIGGINBOTHAM, and DUHÉ, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:\*

Paul Clark has appealed the district court's order granting the defendants' motion for summary judgment and dismissing his tort claims under the Jones Act and General Maritime Law. Clark contends that the district court erred in determining that the spud barge on which the alleged injury occurred was not a "vessel" for purposes of the Jones Act. Clark also contends that the district court erred in determining that it did not have

<sup>\*</sup> Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

jurisdiction under General Maritime Law to entertain the tort claim. We have carefully reviewed the record and the briefs, and, essentially for reasons stated by the district court, <u>Clark v. Roy Anderson Corp.</u>, No. 1:95-CV-585RR (S.D. Miss. Nov. 12, 1996), we AFFIRM the district court's order granting the defendants' motion for summary judgment.

AFFIRMED.