IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 96-50275 Conference Calendar

JOE E. PERRYMAN,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

versus

EDWARD C. PRADO, Judge,

Defendant-Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas USDC No. 94-CV-350 August 22, 1996

Before KING, DUHÉ, and DeMOSS, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

Joe E. Perryman appeals the district court's denial of his motion for relief from judgment

or order pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b). Perryman has not shown that the district court's

denial of his Rule 60(b) motion was "so unwarranted as to constitute an abuse of discretion."

Seven Elves, Inc. v. Eskenazi, 635 F.2d 396, 402 (5th Cir. 1981).

^{*} Pursuant to Local Rule 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in Local Rule 47.5.4.

Perryman's appeal is without an arguable basis in fact or law and is thus frivolous. <u>See</u> <u>Howard v. King</u>, 707 F.2d 215, 219-20 (5th Cir. 1983). Accordingly, Perryman's appeal is DISMISSED AS FRIVOLOUS. <u>See</u> 5th Cir. R. 42.2. Perryman's motions are DENIED.

We previously warned Perryman in <u>Perryman v. Hudspeth</u>, No. 95-50907 (5th Cir. June 25, 1996), that further frivolous appeals would invite the imposition of sanctions. Accordingly, Perryman is barred from filing any pro se, in forma pauperis, civil appeal in this court, or any pro se, in forma pauperis, initial civil pleading in any court which is subject to this court's jurisdiction, without the advance written permission of a judge of the forum court or of this court; the clerk of this court and the clerks of all federal district courts in this Circuit are directed to return to Perryman, unfiled, any attempted submission inconsistent with this bar.

APPEAL DISMISSED; SANCTION IMPOSED.