## IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

\_\_\_\_\_

No. 96-50163 USDC No. W-96-CV-38

GLENN HERROD,

Petitioner-Appellant,

versus

STATE OF OKLAHOMA,

Respondent-Appellee.

-----

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas

-----

July 3, 1996
Before KING, HIGGINBOTHAM and STEWART, Circuit Judges.
PER CURIAM:\*

Glenn Herrod requests a certificate of probable cause (CPC) to appeal. The district court dismissed Herrod's petition for failure to exhaust state remedies, but did not specify which state or what remedies were to be exhausted. Herrod was not given the opportunity in the district court to show, as he has alleged in this court, that attempting to exhaust state remedies

<sup>\*</sup> Pursuant to Local Rule 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in Local Rule 47.5.4.

is futile. <u>See</u> 28 U.S.C. § 2254(b); <u>Harris v. Reed</u>, 489 U.S.

255, 269-70 (1989) (O'Connor, J., concurring); <u>Smith v. Estelle</u>,

562 F.2d 1006, 1007-08 (5th Cir. 1977). The request for CPC is

GRANTED. The judgment of the district court is VACATED and the

habeas action is REMANDED to the district court to develop what,

if any, remedies are available to Herrod in either Texas or

Oklahoma or both and whether requiring exhaustion of any state

remedies would be futile.

VACATED and REMANDED.