IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 96-41225 Conference Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondent-Appellee,

versus

CHRISTOPHER TAYLOR,

Petitioner-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas USDC No. 4:96-CV-64

_ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _

February 11, 1998

Before SMITH, EMILIO M. GARZA, and DeMOSS, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

Christopher Taylor, federal prisoner # 04971-078, appeals the district court's denial of his motion to vacate, set aside, or correct his sentence, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255. Taylor argues that his counsel was ineffective for failing to file a direct appeal and that the district court erred in calculating the amount of drugs attributable to him for sentencing purposes.

Taylor raises his ineffective-assistance claim for the first time on appeal. At most, his claim is subject to plain-error

 $^{^{*}}$ Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

review. See United States v McPhail, 112 F.3d 197, 199 (5th Cir. 1997). His claim gives rise to a factual question which this court will not resolve on appeal and which, by its nature, does not rise to the level of obvious error. See Robertson v. Plano City of Texas, 70 F.3d 21, 23 (5th Cir. 1995). Taylor's argument regarding the district court's calculation of the quantity of drugs attributable to him is not cognizable under § 2255. See United States v. Vaughn, 955 F.2d 367, 368 (5th Cir. 1992).

Taylor's claims are without merit. Accordingly, the district court's judgment denying his § 2255 motion is AFFIRMED.